

Optimized Treatment in Severe Asthma Real World Case Sharing

Ching-Min Jimmy Tseng Division of Respiratory Therapy, Cheng-Hsin General Hospital Division of Physiology, National Yang-Ming University



PM-TW-MPL-PPTX-190022-Dec-2019



Trade marks are owned by or licensed to the GSK group of companies ©[2019] GSK group companies or its licensor

Disclaimer

•This event is sponsored by GSK, in the interest of advancing the scientific knowledge of healthcare professionals.

•I am receiving payment from GSK of this service.

•GSK does not approve of or recommend the use of medicines in any way other than that stated in the approved package inserts.

• For full prescribing information, refer to the package inserts approved by TFDA.





GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and

adult patients

Diagnosis and Management



Uncontrolled asthma: ≥1 of the following:

- Poor symptom control (frequent symptoms or reliever use, activity limited by asthma, night waking due to asthma)
 - **Frequent exacerbations** (≥2/year) requiring oral corticosteroids (OCS), or **serious exacerbations** (≥1/year) requiring hospitalization

Difficult-to-treat asthma:

- Uncontrolled despite <u>GINA Step 4/5</u> <u>treatment</u> (e.g. medium/high dose ICS with a second controller; maintenance OCS), or
- **Requiring** such treatment to **maintain** good symptom control and reduce the risk of exacerbations.
 - Severe asthma: (a retrospective label)

5-10%

24~50%

~17%

- Uncontrolled despite <u>adherence</u> with <u>maximal</u> optimized therapy and treatment of <u>contributory</u> factors, or
- Worsens when high dose treatment is decreased.

Management of difficult-to-treat asthma



Difficult-to-treat & severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients. Diagnosis and Management © Global Initiative for Asthma, 2019

Long-term OCS related to future risks in severe asthma



Dose-response relationship between cumulative systemic corticosteroid use and risk of side effects in asthma

UK database

- 24,117 asthmatic patients with SCS included.
- Increased comorbidity risk and related to cumulative exposure
- Odds ratio associated with ≥ 4
 courses (0.5 g) of systemic steroids

Type 2 DM

0.7

0.8



HR

≥10

5-<10

2.5-<5

1.0-<2.5 0.5-<1.0

>0_<0.5

High cost of corticosteroids-related comorbidities

Severe Asthma Network in Italy (SANI) registry

- Severe asthma population: 5%

- Severe asthma treated with OCS: 62%

Comorbidity	Non-asthma control	Moderate asthma	Severe asthma
Type II diabetes	€ 83,49	€ 97,40	€ 139,15
Obesity (BMI >30)	€ 404,30	€ 615,24	€ 738,29
Osteopenia	€ 18,81	€ 18,81	€ 94,03
Osteoporosis	€ 31,13	€ 41,51	€ 166,05
Fracture	€ 79,40	€ 59,55	€ 99,25
Dyspeptic disorders	€ 230,04	€ 325,89	€ 623,03
Glaucoma	€ 31,53	€ 31,53	€ 42,04
Cataract	€ 42,04	€ 52,55	€ 94,60
Cardiovascular disease	€ 146,78	€ 146,78	€ 209,68
Hypertension	€ 240,84	€ 279,38	€ 327,54
Psychiatric disorders	€ 485,45	€ 601,96	€ 737,89
Hypercholesterolaemia	€ 47,13	€ 59,98	€ 64,26
Sleep disorder	€ 28,18	€ 35,22	€ 56,36
Chronic kidney disease	€ 261,41	€ 336,10	€ 522,83
Total for 2-year analysis	€ 2130.54	€ 2701.91	€ 3915.00
Annual total cost	1065.27 €	1350.96 €	1957.50 €



World Allergy Organization Journal 2019;12:100007

Severe asthma requiring a personalised treatment approach



Rapidly increasing selection of biologics available for treatment of severe asthma necessitates better-informed decisionmaking

 Wenzel SE. Nat Med. 2012;18:716–725; 2. Fajt ML & Wenzel SE. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2017;9:3–14; 3. GINA. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. 2018. Available from: ginasthma.org [accessed May 2019]; 4. Guilleminault L, et al. Eur Respir Rev. 2017;26:160010;
 Wenzel S. Clin Exp Allergy. 2012;42:650–658.

Mr. Chen's problems

- 51 years old, married
- Height: 174 cm Body Weight: 74kg
- Future contract employee
- Never smoker; No keep pets
- Medical history:
 - Asthma for 6 years
 - Chronic paranasal sinusitis with polyposis s/p pansinusectomy and septoplasty

- Shortness of breathing and chest tightness for 3 days
- Progressed dyspnea and wheezing occurred at midnight
- Visit ER and received bronchodilator via nebulizer, IV steroid and abx treatment
- Transferred from ER to our clinic for poor asthma control on 2018/2/22

+	A PAR	1.00	60	01308	201610	Pre	% pre	ed Po	st	% CHG	
			ASUS.	VC	FVC	3.63L	85%	3.	60(84%) -1%	
		11	10 M	EV1	FEV1	2.44 L	72%	2.3	30(68%) -6%	
				EV1/ /C	FEV1/ FVC	67		64			
	Visit AIR	nansin	usoctomy	EF	PEF	7.76	81%	6.	18(64%) 2%	
	clinics	and se	ptoplasty		prednisol	one 1~2	tab o	qd~bio	d and F	PRN	
	201308	20	1608		201702	2	2	0171 ⁻		201802	
	Cough with Wheezing, nigh Total IgE 296, Ec	Purule	 Easy go Severe 2017/02 	ot com AE an 2	mon cold d went to I	ER on	Yel fo	low sp or 2 we	utum eks		٢
			Nasal pFeel pc	olyps i oor coi	recurred of ntrol	n 201611		Flutio	casone/	vilanterol (1	00)1
	Budesonide/fomoterol 160/4.5 turb 2 puff bid theophyllin (200) 1# hs levocetirizine 1# hs fluticasone nasal spray qd					f dexch au	ventolin exofena ilorpher gmentir	adine 1# bid adine 1# bid hiramine (2) n (1g)1# q12	l 1# tid 2h		
	montelucast (10)1# hs										



Lab	20180222
WBC	9100
Hb	17.3
PLT	257K
N/L/E	44/44/ <mark>6.5</mark>
CRP	0.29

Clinical course 20180222

- PE: wheezing+
- Asthma attack
- Prednisolone 1# tid
- Unasyn (375) 1# tid
- ventolin PRN and keep Relvar

Follow up 20180226

- No wheezing, but still rhinorrhea and night cough
- Keep prednisolone 1# tid, unasyn 1# tid, xyzal 1# qn, regrow 1# bid, Avamys nasal spray bid
- Eosinophil 592, Total IgE 750
 - Allergen: mite (class 1), Candida (class 1), Cockroach (class 1)

2018	March	า 8	<u>ACT=10, PEFR 310</u> FP/Sal evo 250 2 puff bid, tiotropium 2 puff qn+ OCS 1# bid					
2018	March	22 ו	<u>PEFR 640</u> FP/Sal evo 250 2 puff bid, tiotropium 2 puff qn+ OCS 1# qd					
2018	March	n 29	ACT=19,PEFR 560, No SABA		201610	201803		
_	_		FP/Sal evo 250 2 puff bid, tic	Pre-FVC	3.63 (85%)	3.69 (86%)		
2018	May 1	7	ACT=11, PEFR 410, ER visit tv	Pre-FEV1	2.44 (72%)	1.82 (54%)		
	-		Eos 19%, keep triple therapy	Post-FVC	3.60 (84%)	4.09 (96%)		
2019	luno			Post-FEV1	2.30 (68%)	2.33 (70%)		
2010	June		<u>6/28 PEFR=500</u>	Post FEV1/FVC	64%	57%		
			7/26 ACT 12 DEED 120	FEV1 BD	-6%	28%		
		CS	<u>1/20 ACT=12, PEFR=430</u>	Post-PEF	6.18 (64%)	5.77 (60%)		
		Ō						
2018	Aug 2		<u>PEFR 470, Eos 450/ul</u>					
-	_		mepolizumab 100mg sc, self-paid					

Traits of eosinophil counts after mepolizumab



Pulmonary function

Nucala use						
	201803	201809	201903	201909		
Pre-FVC	3.69 (86%)	4.03 (95%)	4.27 (103%)	4.32 (102%)		
Pre-FEV1	1.82 (54%)	2.97 (90%)	3.17 (98%)	2.91 (89%)		
Post-FVC	4.09 (96%)	4.12 (97%)	4.08 (99%)	4.23 (100%)		
Post-FEV1	2.33 (70%)	3.17 (96%)	3.15 (97%)	3.05(93%)		
Post FEV1/FVC	57%	77%	77%	72%		
FEV1 BD	28%	7%	-1%	5%		
Post-PEF	5.77 (60%)	8.66 (91%)	9.37 (100%)	8.34 (88%)		

After 14 courses of mepolizumab: Fluticasone/salbutamol 125 2 puff bid fexofenadine 1# bid

Mr. Chen's problem





Late onset, high Eos and nasal polyps

SARP cluster analysis

Late onset, nasal polyposis, OCS dependent and high eosinophilia



Late-onset eosinophilic asthma is the predominant phenotype in severe asthma

Spain, observational, cross-sectional study,

- N=179, 70.8% female
- mean age: 55.3 ± 12.1
- OCS dependent: 21.7%
- Late-onset: age>12 y/o
- Eosinophilic asthma: blood eos>300 cells/µL
- Obesity: BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²



Severe allergic asthma vs Severe esosinophilc asthma

	A: allergic-predominant asthma	B: eosinophilic-predominant asthma		
1	Early onset	Late onset		
2	SPT/RAST+ with clinically significant	SPT/RAST- or + with no clinically significant		
	allergies [#]	allergies		
3	laE >100 IU·mL ^{−1}	laE <100 lU·mL ^{−1}		
4	Allergic rhinitis	Nasal polyps		
5 High <i>F</i> ENO (30–50 ppb)		Very high FENO (>50 ppb)		
6	Blood eosinophils <300 cells∙µL ⁻¹	Blood eosinophils >300 cells·µL ^{-1#}		

SPT: skin prick test; RAST: radioallergosorbent test; *F*ENO: exhaled nitric oxide fraction. Check the number of relevant patient characteristics per column. If a patient has more features from column A or B it is more likely that he/she has allergic- or eosinophilic-predominant asthma, respectively. If the patient shares features from both columns, it is more likely that he/she suffers from eosinophilic/allergic overlap asthma. [#]: obligatory characteristics for allergic and/or eosinophilic asthma.

ERJ Open Res 2018;4:00125-2017

Severe eosinophilic asthma occurred in either atopic or non-atopic pathway



Brusselle et al. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2014:11(5);322-8

High blood eosinophil counts associated with more acute exacerbation rate and poor asthma control

UK cohort study, 130 248 patients, 1990-2013

Adjusted RR and OR (95% CI) with blood eosinophils >400 cells per μL



Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3:849-58

Treatable traits can be identified in a severe asthma registry and predict future exacerbations



Most of T2 high severe asthma not respond to systemic steroids



- SARP3 cohort, >60% severe asthma
- Systemic corticosteroids not fully suppress airway type 2 inflammation
- Steroid-resistant T2-high asthma are characterized by more severe disease

IL-5 is major cytokine related in severe eosinophilic asthma



Mepolizumab: anti-IL5 monoclonal antibody

Identify the right patients for mepolizumab



Two or more controller therapies, including high-dose ICS and additional controller(s)

and

Two or more **exacerbations** in the previous 12 months and/or daily OCS



Clinically meaningful response is predicted in patients with blood eosinophil counts of:²

≥150 cells/µL at initiation of treatment

or

≥300 cells/µL in the prior 12 months

1. Taiwan Nucala Full PI, USPI201702 2. Ortega HG, et al. Lancet Respir Med. 2016;4:549–556.

Mepolizumab demonstrated long-term improvement in asthma control

COSMEX study, extension of COSMOS from MENSA and SIRIUS, up to 172 weeks



Continuous treatment defined as ≤12-week gap between last Nucala dose in COSMOS and first dose in COSMEX. Interrupted treatment defined as >12-week gap between last COSMOS dose and first COSMEX dose.

* Data shown for timepoints in which n >1 for each group.

MCID, minimum clinically important difference (reduction of 0.5 points in ACQ-5 score).

1. GlaxoSmithKline. Data on file. REF-21901; 2. GlaxoSmithKline. Data on file. REF-2158; 3. GINA. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. 2018. Available from: ginasthma.org [accessed May 2019].

Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice

REALITI-A study

REALITI-A is an ongoing, 2-year, global, prospective, single-arm, observational cohort study to assess the effectiveness of mepolizumab in patients with SEA in real-world clinical practice

Patient inclusion criteria

- · Adults with SEA
- Newly prescribed mepolizumab at physician's discretion
- ≥12 months of medical record data available prior to enrolment



SEA, severe eosinophilic asthma.

* Compared with 12 months prior to mepolizumab exposure; clinically significant exacerbations defined as those requiring ED visit / hospitalisation and/or use/increased dose of OCS therapy

1. Harrison T, Canonica GW, Gemzoe K, et al. Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice: The REALITI-A study. ERS 2019. #OA2104;2. GlaxoSmithKline. 204710-A multinational, single-arm, observational study to evaluate the real-world effectiveness and pattern of use of mepolizumab in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma (204710: the REALITI-A study). Available from: gsk-studyregister.com [accessed September 2019].

Mepolizumab reduce the rate of asthma exacerbation in real world

REALITI-A study



This is an analysis of data from early initiators in the REALITI-A study, with 12 months of post-exposure data available.

Harrison T, Canonica GW, Gemzoe K, et al. Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice: The REALITI-A study. ERS 2019. #OA2104

REALITI-A study and clinical trials

Reduction in asthma exacerbation



This is an analysis of data from early initiators in the REALITI-A study, with 12 months of post-exposure data available.

1. Harrison T, Canonica GW, Gemzoe K, et al. Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice: The REALITI-A study. ERS 2019. #OA2104;

2. Ortega HG, Liu MC, Pavord ID, et al. Mepolizumab treatment in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1198–1207;

3. Chupp GL, Bradford ES, Albers FC, et al. Efficacy of mepolizumab add-on therapy on health-related quality of life and markers of asthma control in severe

eosinophilic asthma (MUSCA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicentre, Phase 3b trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2017;5:390-400.

Mepolizumab reduce OCS use in real world setting

REALITI-A study

* Median OCS remained at 5.0 mg/day for all assessment time periods from weeks 25 to 52.

Adapted from Harrison T, Canonica GW, Gemzoe K, et al. Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice: The REALITI-A study. ERS 2019. #OA2104.

Safety

REALITI-A study

Event, n (%)	Mepolizumab 100 mg SC (N = 368)
Any on-treatment AEs	53 (14)
AE related to study treatment leading to permanent discontinuation	9 (2)
Any on-treatment SAE	2 (<1)
Related to study treatment	2 (<1)
Fatal	0

This is an analysis of data from early initiators in the REALITI-A study, with 12 months of post-exposure data available.

Harrison T, Canonica GW, Gemzoe K, et al. Effectiveness and safety of mepolizumab in real-world clinical practice: The REALITI-A study. ERS 2019. #OA2104.

Nasal polyps correlate with chronic T2 inflammation

- **Chronic inflammation**, rather than atopic allergy induced
- Predominant T2 inflammation by ILC2 cells
- 80% to 90% of the nasal polyps are characterized by prominent eosinophilia

Clinical & Experimental Allergy 2014;45,328–346; JACI 2017;140:1024-31; J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;128:989-95

6b Consider add-on biologic Type 2 targeted treatments

- Consider add-on Type 2-targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations or poor symptom control on high dose ICS-LABA, who:
- have eosinophilic or allergic biomarkers, or
- need maintenance OCS
- Consider local payer eligibility criteria
 and predictors of response when choosing between available therapies
- Also consider cost, dosing frequency, route (SC or IV), patient preference

Which biologic is appropriate to start first?

GINA Guidelines, 2019

Proposed treatment algorithm for severe eosinophilic asthma

J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019;144:1-12 Respiratory Medicine 2018;142:15–22

Integrated Safety Information

Adverse reactions with mepolizumab 100 mg SC with ≥3% incidence and more common than placebo in MENSA and SIRIUS studies.

Adverse Reaction	Mepolizumab 100 mg SC (n = 263) %	Placebo (n = 257) %
Headache	19	18
Injection site reaction	8	3
Back pain	5	4
Fatigue	5	4
Influenza	3	2
Urinary tract infection	3	2
Abdominal pain upper	3	2
Pruritus	3	2
Eczema	3	<1
Muscle spasms	3	<1

Systemic reactions including hypersensitivity have been reported at an overall incidence comparable to that of placebo.

Taiwan Nucala Full PI, USPI201702

英文產品名稱: NUCALA Powder for Solution for Injection (Mepolizumab) 衛部菌疫輸字第001015號

中文產品名稱:舒肺樂凍晶注射劑

成分含量:注射劑,100毫克冷凍乾燥粉末,單劑小瓶裝,須泡製使用。

適應症與用途:表現型為嗜伊紅性白血球的嚴重氣喘且控制不良(severe refractory eosinophilic asthma)之成人患者之附加維持治療。

用法用量:NUCALA的建議劑量為每4週一次於上臂、大腿或腹部皮下注射100毫克。

禁忌症:NUCALA不可用於曾對mepolizumab或配方中之賦形劑產生過敏反應的患者。

警語和注意事項

過敏反應:

曾有在投予NUCALA之後發生過敏反應(如血管性水腫、支氣管痙攣、低血壓、蕁麻疹、皮疹)的報告。這些反應通常都是在投藥後數小時內發生,但 有些病例會延遲發生(即數日後才發生)。如果發生過敏反應,應停用NUCALA。

急性氣喘症狀或惡化性疾病:

NUCALA不可用於治療急性氣喘症狀或急性惡化。切勿使用NUCALA治療急性支氣管痙攣或氣喘重積狀態。在開始使用NUCALA治療之後,如果患者的 氣喘症狀仍未獲得控制或出現惡化的現象,應尋求醫療建議。

伺機性感染:帶狀皰疹

在對照性臨床試驗中,使用NUCALA治療的受試者有2個發生帶狀皰疹的嚴重不良反應病例,安慰劑組則無任何此類病例。在開始使用NUCALA治療之前,如果醫療條件適合,應考慮接種水痘疫苗。

降低皮質類固醇的劑量:

開始使用NUCALA治療時,切勿驟然停用全身性或吸入性皮質類固醇。如果適合降低皮質類固醇的劑量,應以逐步漸進的方式降低劑量,並應在醫師的 直接監督之下進行。降低皮質類固醇的劑量可能會引發全身性戒斷症狀,並或使先前被全身性皮質類固醇壓制的症狀顯露出來。

寄生蟲(蠕蟲)感染:

嗜伊紅性白血球可能會涉及某些蠕蟲感染所引發的免疫反應。已知患有寄生蟲感染症的患者都被排除於臨床試驗之外。目前並不確知NUCALA是否會影響患者對寄生蟲感染的反應。對原先即患有蠕蟲感染症的患者,在開始使用NUCALA治療前應先治療其感染症。如果患者在接受NUCALA治療期間發生 感染,並且對抗蠕蟲治療無法產生反應,應停止使用NUCALA治療,直到感染消退。

常見不良反應:頭痛、注射部位反應、背痛、疲倦等。

•不良事件通報程序:通報電話:(02)23126836/ 郵箱: <u>oax40892@gsk.com</u> •詳細處方資訊備索

葛蘭素史克藥廠 地址:100台北市忠孝西路一段66號24樓

35

Thank you for your listening

ponda0294@gmail.com

